

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 23-5126

September Term, 2023

1:77-cv-02019-APM

Filed On: November 21, 2023

Carolee Brady Hartman and All Other
Plaintiffs, Approx. 50 additional plaintiffs,

Appellants

Zuzanna J. Dillon, Dr., et al.,

Appellees

v.

Antony J. Blinken, Secretary of State and All
Other Defendants, One additional defendant,

Appellees

BEFORE: Millett, Pillard, and Garcia, Circuit Judges

ORDER

Upon consideration of the corrected motion for summary affirmance, the opposition thereto, and the reply, it is

ORDERED that the motion for summary affirmance be denied. The merits of the parties' positions are not so clear as to warrant summary action. See Taxpayers Watchdog, Inc. v. Stanley, 819 F.2d 294, 297 (D.C. Cir. 1987) (per curiam).

Because the court has determined that summary disposition is not in order, the Clerk is instructed to calendar this case for presentation to a merits panel.

Per Curiam